1. Project Description: a. Describe the proposed project including any proposed phasing:

The project will be completed in one phase during the dry season (mid-July to end-August). The proposed project is to notch and lower an existing spillway located in the Van Norden Dam at Soda Springs on Donner Summit, California with use of heavy equipment. Due to safety concerns related to the historic structure and the impending El Nino climate year, including the Governor’s drought proclamation, water was released from the Lake Van Norden Reservoir between October 12 and November 2, 2015. Flow is released through a 24-inch outlet pipe and over the existing spillway. There is a sediment basin on the upstream side of the reservoir which is approximately the same elevation as the proposed elevation for the proposed 5-foot notch. TDLT holds no valid legal water rights to impound water behind the dam. A concrete collar may be placed around the outlet pipe. Groundwater supports the meadow and will fluctuates over the growing season. The Truckee Donner Land Trust (TDLT), utilizing work conducted by Holdrege & Kull1 will enact changes to the Lake Van Norden Spillway by modifying the spillway to comply with mandated regulatory orders2, 3. For a complete project description see Holdrege & Kull, February 2015, Truckee Donner Land Trust Specification for Lake Van Norden Spillway Modifications, 10 pages with appendices.

---


2 Correspondence dated April 30, 2014 between California State Water Resources Control Board, Mr. Vasquez, and Mr. Perry Norris, Executive Director, TDLT, Notice of Failure to File a Statement of Water Diversion and Use and Notice of Water Right Requirements for Water Storage at Lake Van Norden, South Fork Yuba River, Nevada and Placer Counties, 5 pages.

3 Correspondence dated April 12, 2013 between California Division of Safety of Dams, Mr. David Gutierrez, Chief of Dams, and Mr. Perry Norris, Executive Director, TDLT, Lake Van Norden Dam, No. 7000-120 (Illegal) Nevada County, 1-2 pages.
The scope of work will consist of:

1. Lowering the existing spillway invert by 4.5 feet with a 0.5 foot x 0.5 foot notch, including sawcut and removal of existing shotcrete/concrete in the spillway channel.
2. Lowering of the spillway invert and section, construction of the new spillway channel, apron and collar (as designed). See the design drawings and engineered report specifications.
3. Placing clean riprap (boulder size, 3.5 feet in diameter of two to four tons) via excavator in the existing scour basin for scour protection as shown on engineering plan set.

Excavation may extend below the reservoir pool and the scour pond level. The construction area shall be dewatered in advance of excavation work (as needed). As excavation is performed, existing concrete and/or shotcrete will be removed and placed out of any waterway and off-hauled to an approved landfill. Concrete will be piped into forms via a truck outside of waterways. For a complete project description see Holdrege & Kull, February 2015, Truckee Donner Land Trust Specification for Lake Van Norden Spillway Modifications, 10 pages with appendices.

b. Are any exceptions to required standards proposed or required for this project (a Variance, a Petition for Exceptions or a Management Plan to encroach into any sensitive resources)? If yes, identify the nature of the proposed variance, exception or management plan:

---

4 Concrete structures consist of trapezoidal spillway channel, aprons and spillway channel transitions with reinforcing bars. Bars shall be free from dust, oil, paint, or other debris that would reduce the bond. Reinforcing steel shall be stored above ground to prevent surfaces from contamination.
No, the water was released prior to this project to comply with other emergency orders and the Governor’s Drought Proclamation. Because TDLT does not own water rights the reservoir will remain unfilled.

c. Code Violations: To your knowledge, are there any Code violations occurring on this property, including the issuance of a Warning Notice or a Citation for the subject property?  

   ___ yes ✔ no

If yes, describe:

There are no County code violations. The Division of Dam Safety has mandated that the spillway be lowered at least five feet. The Lake Van Norden Dam is over 100 years old. In a recent update to the Nevada County General Plan, Nevada County revised language on dam failure and acknowledged that seepage under dams leading to foundation failure, spillway problems under heavy rains, and seismic active areas are concerns that must be addressed within the County planning process when considering dam projects. Since 1977, the Division of Dam Safety (DODS) has determined that the dam would need to be rebuilt due to problems with seepage and cracks, the foundation not being keyed into bedrock, and for being located in what appears to be a potentially seismically active area. Temporary modifications were made to the spillway in 1976 and 1983 until a permanent solution could be rendered. From 1976 forward no maintenance was done to the dam itself, only the spillway. In 1977, there was so much vegetation on the dam that a visual dam inspection could not be completed. For over 40 years there has been no maintenance on the dam. A series of property owners determined that the “fix” of any scenario was too expensive and the property was sold and resold until the TDLT purchased the property.

The proposed project will resolve issues related to dam safety and remove the dam from a hazard rating.

2. Land Use:

a. Does this project have a relationship to a larger project or a series of projects?

   ___ yes ✔ no

   If yes, describe:

b. Describe existing on-site land uses:

The Lake Van Norden Reservoir Spillway Modification Project land use is to convey water from the

---

5 There is an proposed amendment in Chapter 10, Safety, of the Nevada County General Plan. The Nevada County General Plan was originally approved by the Board of Supervisors in 1996. The General Plan has been subsequently amended in 2008 (Safety Element), in 2010 (Circulation Element and Housing Element, 4th Revision) and in 2014 (Land Use Element and Housing Element, 5th Revision). More recently the Board of Supervisors has adopted amendments to the Safety and Noise Elements in October 2014.

In the language of Chapter 10, the County recognizes that dam failure is a concern to well being of the citizens of Nevada County. They cite Martis Creek Dam, which is operated by the US Army Corps of Engineers and has been listed by the USACE as one of nation's six dams most at risk of failure. Three key risks of failure sited by the USACE are: seepage under the dam leading to foundation failure, a too small spillway leading to overflowing events in heavy rains, and an earthquake fault-line located within 200 yards of the dam. The dam is on an active monitoring program, not in use for water storage and has extensive remediation work underway.
reservoir area to the South Yuba River. The Nevada County zoning is recreational (FOR-40, REC). The spillway serves to release water during high flow events; a 24-inch culvert discharges flow from the South Yuba River during low flow events or when flows drop below the existing spillway elevation.

c. Describe surrounding land uses, indicating distance to nearest residence:

There are two ski resorts, and one residence that is approximately 509 feet from the center of the spillway. The other residences are across the railroad tracks. Most of the area is open space or recreational (ski resorts).

d. Describe project potential to change the character of the surrounding area, including the loss of open space.

The existing and proposed conditions of the surrounding and adjacent areas are relatively the same. There will be a change from open water to wet meadow/wetlands; open water will decrease and wetlands will increase. The existing condition is that the spillway will discharge water under high discharge events. An outlet pipe will discharge water under low flow events so that inflow equals outflow.

The proposed project is called the Van Norden Dam Spillway Modification Project and contains approximately 0.23 acres. There is additional room on the property for construction staging if its
necessary. Construction will be conducted in the dry season and pumps will be utilized (if necessary) to keep the construction area dry. BMPs will be employed to protect water quality and wildlife. Historically, the Reservoir was emptied each year; more recently, water is ponded behind the dam.

The SWRCB notified the TDLT that the water behind the dam was illegally ponded and they must release the water and apply for a water right. The TDLT will not apply for additional water rights to support wetlands and/or wildlife because its unfeasible for many reasons (water rights availability and 20+-year processing time for SWQCB permit). The dam was deemed unsafe by PG&E and notched in 1977. There is a long history of repairs and safety concerns related to this structure.

Various older PG&E and DODS reports indicate that since 1976, PG&E planned to repair leaks caused from rodent holes and enlarge the height of the dam. However, the state declared the dam unsafe due to the substandard foundation and the potential for destabilization in case of earthquake. After conducting extensive geotechnical exploration and studies, PG&E, concluded that reconstruction of the dam to modern standards due to the foundation issue inimical to the safety of the dam. PG&E elected to breach the dam in December 1976 in order to eliminate the immediate hazard.

As stated, the proposed notching of the spillway is consistent with the Chapter 10, Safety, Nevada County General Plan Amended, 2008, 2010 and 2014 and Board of Supervisor adopted amendments to the Safety and Noise Elements, October 2014.

In summary, the character of the surrounding area will not change because the land use will remain open space and the habitat types in adjacent areas are not dependant on a functional dam. Rather, the habitat types are more dependant on a good snow pack each year. It is known that groundwater supports the adjacent meadow and historic reservoir area. The area directly behind the dam is composed of the following:

- an Historic Reservoir Which Will Revert to Wetlands,
- Open Water Habitat in the South Yuba River,
- Adjacent Seasonal Palustrine Wetland and Palustrine Scrub/Shrub Wetland,
- Upland Habitats Mainly Composed of Lodgepole Pine and High Alpine Meadow.

---

6Shaw, D., Groundwater monitoring and interpretation of initial conclusions, Lake Van Norden and Van Norden Meadow Assessment update, Placer and Nevada Counties, California: letter report prepared for John Svahn, Truckee Donner Land Trust, 1 p. + figures. Shallow groundwater and surface water monitoring data presented in the 2014 Assessment report was updated with data through April 2015 to evaluate a number of conclusions presented in the initial assessment. Based on the initial findings and the updated data, the conclusion was reached that groundwater levels are anticipated to remain close to the exposed meadow surface upon lake lowering.

7Shaw, D., 2016, Measured groundwater conditions in Van Norden Meadow during drawdown of Lake Van Norden in October 2015, Placer and Nevada Counties, California: Balance Hydrologics letter report prepared for John Svahn, Truckee Donner Land Trust, dated January 15, 2016. When the Van Norden Dam outflow pipe was opened and the pipe inlet was configured to bring the lake water surface to an elevation of approximately 6749.6, Balance Hydrologics used water level recorders to evaluate the degree to which groundwater in the meadow was affected. Most areas showed no response to lowered lake levels, with the exception of one area, which showed a minor drop in groundwater elevation prior to rising with the onset of fall precipitation.
e. Will this project displace any residential units?  ____ yes ✓ no If yes, describe:

f. Will this project result in a population increase in the immediate project area?  ____ yes ✓ no Explain:

g. List any specialized plans or zoning restrictions applicable to this project site, e.g., an “SP” zoning, a Master Plan, a Specific Plan, an Area Plan, an Airport Land Use Plan?

N/A

3. Geology/Soils:
a. A Preliminary Grading Plan is attached.  ✓ yes  ____ no

b. A Soils/Geologic Report is attached.  ✓ yes  ____ no

c. Slopes that exist on site prior to grading:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slope Type</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>% of Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gentle (0-10%)</td>
<td>10,018.8/0.23 sq. ft/ acres</td>
<td>100% of site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolling (10-30%)</td>
<td>sq. ft/ acres</td>
<td>% of site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steep (more than 30%)</td>
<td>sq. ft/ acres</td>
<td>% of site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

___ 30%> slopes are cross-hatched or highlighted on the site plan or tentative map

d. Does the project propose to encroach into slopes of 30% or greater? No
If yes, is a Management Plan included in this application?  ____ yes ✓ no

e. Is the site on filled land? ✓ yes  ____ no  If yes, explain: The dam is earthen filled. Constructed in approximately 1902 or earlier.

f. Are there existing erosion problems or geologic hazards occurring on this site, such as landslides, mudslides, ground failures, earthquake faults or similar hazards? If yes, describe:

Yes, PGE reports indicate that since 1977, the DODS has determined that the dam would need to be rebuilt due to problems with seepage and cracks, not being keyed into bedrock, and located in what appears to be a potentially seismically active area. Modifications were made to the spillway in 1976 and 1983 until a permanent solution could be rendered. From 1976 forward no maintenance was done to the dam itself, only the spillway. In 1977, there was so much vegetation on the dam that a visual dam inspection could not be completed. For over 40 years there has been no maintenance on the dam. A series of property owners determined that the “fix” of any scenario was too expensive and the property was sold and resold. In 2012, the Land Trust purchased the property and received mandatory letters from the Division of Dam Safety and the Department of Water Resources that
compliance was mandatory. At no time did the Land Trust consider that it was economically feasible to rebuild the dam to current 2015 standards. Dams are liabilities; dams must be maintained and it is cost prohibitive to rebuild the dam to current standards.

The Truckee Donner Land Trust mission is to preserve and protect scenic, historic and recreational lands with high natural resource values in the greater Truckee Donner region. The Board of Directors and Staff believe that it is fiscally and legally irresponsible to maintain any kind of dam that does anymore than pass the flow of the South Fork of the Yuba River in as natural a state as possible. Studies have determined a range of flows from the Yuba River that range from low flows to floods. To maintain any dam is to take on a legal, moral and ethical liability that would threaten the mission of the non-profit itself.

The Land Trust has the obligation to dismantle the dam (to the extent possible). It is not economically, or legally feasible to restore the dam to current 2015 safety standards. In 1977, the estimate to repair the dam was between $775,000 and $1.5 million. In 1977 dollars that today equate to between $3,126,792 and $5,856,411, respectively. Given the body of data, the requirements to retrofit an 100-year plus structure in 2015 is simply not what the Land Trust can do, nor should they be made to consider this option as it is fiscally unsound.

This implementation of this project will remove the risk and hazard that presently exists.

g. Will a grading permit be required? yes ____ no

If yes, have you attached a preliminary grading plan? yes ____ no

Describe proposed site grading:

How many cubic yards of soil will be imported, exported or moved on site?

Maximum proposed depth and slope of any excavation and the type:

Grading material sources or disposal sites:

Transport methods and haul routes:

---

8 Correspondence dated April 30, 2014 between California State Water Resources Control Board, Mr. Vasquez, and Mr. Perry Norris, Executive Director, TDLT, Notice of Failure to File a Statement of Water Diversion and Use and Notice of Water Right Requirements for Water Storage at Lake Van Norden, South Fork Yuba River, Nevada and Placer Counties, 5 pages.

9 Correspondence dated April 12, 2013 between California Division of Safety of Dams, Mr. David Gutierrez, Chief of Dams, and Mr. Perry Norris, Executive Director, TDLT, Lake Van Norden Dam, No. 7000-120 (Illegal) Nevada County, 1-2 pages.

$775,000.00 in 1977 had the same buying power as $3,126,792.10 in 2015. $1,200,000.00 in 1977 had the same buying power as $5,856,410.89 in 2015. Annual inflation over this period was 3.74%. The engineering estimate was provided within Holdrege & Kull, undated, Van Norden Dam State Record 1-13, approximately 300 pages.
The location and height of any proposed or required retaining walls:

4. Water Quality:

a. Describe any water bodies on, or adjacent to, the property, including lakes, rivers, creeks, seasonal and/or perennial water courses, irrigation ditches or drainage swales.

South Yuba River.

b. Is there a floodplain on or within 100 feet of this project site? If yes, is it identified on the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) maps and have you included a copy of that map with this application? ✓ yes ____ no

c. For development projects, describe impervious surfacing created by this project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOT COVERAGE</th>
<th>EXISTING</th>
<th>PROPOSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>building coverage</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surfaced areas (Spillway)</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>landscaped areas</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>permanent open space</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(excluding required landscaping)</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d. Describe any discharge to surface waters that will result from this project, including any wastewaters other than storm water runoff that may be present in the discharge:

N/A

e. Identify the water body or feature that receives runoff waters, describing proposed methods for treating and controlling runoff before it enters the drainage or watercourse.

The Proposed project will be conducted in its entirety in the dry season.

f. Will a permit be required from the California Regional Water Quality Control Board? ✓ yes ____ no

If application for a State permit has been made, provide the permit #.

The RWQCB has asked that 401 water quality certification be filed after the CEQA public notice period.
g. Are there any wetlands or riparian areas on this site?  ___ yes ✓ no
   If yes, describe: The historic reservoir is not subject to USACE jurisdiction.

Will wetlands be affected by the proposed project?  ___ yes ✓ no

Will an Army Corps of Engineers wetlands permit be required? ✓ yes _____ no
   If yes, is correspondence to or from the Army Corps attached? ✓ yes _____ no

NWP-3

h. Does this project propose to encroach into the required buffer from any perennial or seasonal waterbodies or riparian area?  ___ yes ✓ no

If yes, is a Management Plan included in this application?  ____ yes ___ no

5. Air Quality:
   a. Describe any air pollutants, i.e. dust, smoke, fumes or odors, which may be generated by this project both during and after construction (short and long term impacts).

   b. Is the project site mapped within an area known to contain naturally occurring asbestos?  ___ yes ✓ no Source of information:

6. Transportation/Circulation:
   a. A traffic study is included with this application.  ____ yes ✓ no

   b. Does this project require/include a Petition for Exceptions?  ____ yes ✓ no

   c. Describe the access roads serving this project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road name</th>
<th>Right of Way width</th>
<th>Surfacing</th>
<th>Finish Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   d. Is the access road serving the site a dead-end road?  ___ yes ✓ no If yes, what is the distance to the nearest through road?

   e. Who provides the road maintenance for each road accessing your project?

   Nevada County

   f. Will this project result in substantial or cumulative impacts to the circulation system in this
**Completed Nevada County Project Information Questionnaire From Truckee Donner Land Trust - Van Norden Dam Spillway Modification Project**

**area?**  yes ✓ no Explain:

**g. What road improvements are proposed?**

N/A

**h. Describe how this project provides for pedestrian needs, pursuant to Sec. L-II 4.1.8 of Zoning Regulations and the Nevada County Non-Motorized Pedestrian Plan:**

Pre and post project conditions are the same.

**i. Describe how this project will provide transportation alternatives pursuant to Sec. L-II 4.1.9 and General Plan Policy RD-4.3.1, including:**

1) **The estimated number of employees or residents that will work/live on the project**

N/A

2) **Identify existing and potential alternatives to individual automobile use, including but not limited to, access to public transportation services, bicycle racks, or provisions for developer-sponsored carpooling or bussing.**

N/A

3) **Proposals to incorporate one or more measures into the project to ensure use of viable alternatives.**

N/A

4) **For projects employing 50 or more persons: describe feasible measures for reducing auto dependence.**

N/A

**7. Biological Resources:**

**a. Is the required Biological Inventory attached?** ✓ yes ___ no

**b. Is a Management Plan for encroachment into sensitive biological resources required?**  yes ✓ no  If yes, is it included in the Inventory?  yes ___ no

**c. How many native oaks exist on the project site?**  Zero

**d. How many oaks have trunk diameters of 36” or more, measured at breast height (4’)?**  Zero
e. Number, size, type and location of trees that will require removal, including those for road and sewage disposal construction (as shown on site plan): Zero

f. Is a Tree Protection Plan for trees to be retained attached or addressed in your Biological Inventory? ____ yes ✓ no

g. Does this project have the potential to preclude the future use of any natural resource i.e., forests or water? ✓ yes ____ no If yes, explain: Water will not be impounded behind the dam.

h. Has this site been logged site in the last 10 years? ____ yes ✓ no

If yes, was a Timber Harvest Plan approved? ____ yes ____ no

Is there an active Timber Harvest Plan on file? ____ yes ____ no

___ If yes to either, a copy of the approved and/or proposed Plan(s) is attached.

8. Mineral Resources:
   a. Is this site mapped as an MRZ-2, Significant Mineralized Area, by the State Dept. of Conservation? ____yes ✓ no

b. Does this project have the potential to deplete any non-renewable minerals? ____ yes ✓ no If yes, explain:

9. Risk of Upset/Health Hazards
   a. Have you included the required Nevada County Hazardous Materials/Waste Statement with this application? ✓ yes ____ no

b. Do you have knowledge, or is there evidence, of any past, potentially hazardous materials use, including underground fuel storage tanks, dumpsites, or surface or subsurface mining activity? ____ yes ✓ no

If yes, a Phase I Assessment must be submitted with this application. Contact the County Department of Environmental Health for information regarding what research must be conducted for the specified past use.

c. Does this project propose the handling, storage or transportation of any potentially hazardous materials, toxic substances, flammables or explosives? ____ yes ✓ no

If yes, briefly describe the potentially hazardous materials:

d. Will the proposed project include the use of hazardous materials in quantities greater than 55 gallons, 200 cubic feet or 500 pounds? ____ yes ✓ no
If yes, have you attached a Hazardous Materials Inventory Statement with this application?
____ yes ____ no

e. Does this project propose, or will this project result in, the generation of hazardous waste as defined by the California Health & Safety Code, Chapter 6.5?
_____ yes ✓ no

f. Does this project propose fuel tanks, either above or below ground? If yes, in what quantities?
No

g. Is this project site within two air miles of an airport? _____ yes ✓ no

If yes, is the project site mapped with the boundaries of an airport Comprehensive Land Use Plan (CLUP)? _____ yes ___ no

10. Noise:

a. Is a Noise Study attached? _____ yes ✓ no

b. Describe any noise-sensitive land uses (homes, schools, hospitals, churches, libraries, nursing homes) within a half-mile of the project site.

N/A

c. Describe any noise that will be generated by this project both during and after construction; identifying the noise source and the hours of operation for the noise generating use, including any outdoor activity areas, i.e., storage yards, outdoor music, playgrounds, animals pens.

N/A

11. Public Services:

a. List agencies providing the following public services to your project site:

Fire Protection: N/A

Domestic water: N/A

Sewage disposal: N/A

Road maintenance: N/A

Other special districts: N/A
b. If public sewer is proposed, how many EDUs are allocated to this site?

N/A

c. How many EDU’s are required for the proposed use?

N/A

d. Is trash and recycling service available to serve the project site?  ___ yes  ___ no

e. As a result of this project will there be significant amounts of solid waste generated, including stumps or inert matter?  ___ yes  ___ no  If yes, describe how the solid waste will be handled/removed:

_____________________________________________________________________

f. Within what Fire Severity zone is the project site mapped (Moderate, High or Very High) on the CalFire Fire Severity Hazard maps?

The project site is mapped as a Very High Fire Severity Hazard and is within a state or federal responsibility area VHFHSZ.

g. Will this project result in the need for additional public services including fire, police, water, sewage disposal or recreation, including annexation to a special district?  ___ yes  ___ no  If yes, describe:

12. Utilities & Services Systems:

a. List the public utilities that are available to serve the project site and the entities that provides service:

  Telephone:  N/A

  Electricity:  N/A

  Gas (propane or natural gas):  N/A

  High speed internet service:  N/A

b. Will this project require the extension of service for any energy source?  
   ___ yes  ___ no  If yes, describe:

c. Will this project require the recording of a new utility easement?  
   ___ yes  ___ no  If yes, the proposed easement must be shown on the site plan.

d. Describe how this project maximizes energy efficiency, i.e., utilizes alternative energy sources,
pursuant to General Plan policies EC-8.6.1, EC-8.6.4 & 14.2:

e. What type of sewage disposal system is proposed for this project (public sewer, individual septic systems, community system, centralized system)?

13. Aesthetics:

a. Will there be a change to any highly visible ridgelines or any scenic viewsheds? ____ yes  ✔  no

If yes, is a Management Plan included in this application?  ____ yes  ____ no

b. Is this project visible from a scenic highway, a large population center, or a public recreation area?  ____ yes  ✔  no  If yes, describe:

c. Does this project propose any outdoor storage, activity or use (other than parking)?  ____ yes  ✔  no  If yes, describe the use:

d. Does this project propose new fencing?  ____ yes  ✔  no  If yes, describe fence type, height, materials and colors:

e. Will this project require the installation of new overhead utility lines, visible from public roadways or adjacent properties?  ____ yes  ✔  no  If yes, describe:

f. Is any new exterior lighting proposed?  ____ yes  ✔  no

If yes:

Is the location of all exterior lighting shown on the site plan?  ____ yes  ____ no

Is a comprehensive Lighting Plan included in this application, which describes existing and proposed lighting, the number and type of light fixtures, i.e., compact-fluorescent, metal-halide, incandescent; the location (wall-mounted, pole); and type of shielding to prevent off-site light spill?  ____ yes  ____ no

14. Agriculture:

a. Is this project site mapped on the State Dept. of Conservation Important Farmlands Map, as Prime, Unique or a Farmland of Statewide or Local Importance?  ____ yes  ✔  no

If yes, is a Management Plan for encroachment into important agricultural lands included with this project?  ____ yes  ____ no

b. Is this project site, or an adjacent site, contracted for an Agricultural Preserve (Williamson Act)?  ____ yes  ✔  no
c. Is there a Conservation Easement recorded for the project site, or an adjacent site?  
   ____ yes ✔ no

d. Is there an agricultural use established on or adjacent to this parcel?  
   ____ yes ✔ no  If yes, describe the use:

   e. Is the project site irrigated?  ____ yes ✔ no

   If yes, what is the water source (well, treated, raw water, or?):

   f. Has the site ever been evaluated under the LESA (Land Evaluation & Site Assessment) system?  
      ____ yes ✔ no

      ___ If yes, a copy of the evaluation is attached.

   g. Will this project prohibit or decrease agricultural production, on or off-site?  
      ____ yes ____ no  If yes, describe: N/A

15. Cultural Resources:

   a. ✔ A letter from the North Central Information Center is attached, recommending whether an on-site Cultural Resources Inventory be conducted.

   b. ✔ An Inventory was conducted and is attached.

   c. An Inventory is not attached because:

      The North Central Information Center determined that an inventory is not required due to project size or sensitivity level (see letter).

      An on-site inventory was conducted for a previous project and is on file with the Planning Department, County File No#: ____________.

16. Recreation:

   a. Describe any public recreational facilities existing or proposed on the project site, including trails. Describe any known historic, public use of this site.

   The 0.23 acre area contains no trails. The adjacent area does contain trails and public use as determined by the TDLT.

   Development project information (not applicable to Tentative Maps)

17. Proposed use: N/A
a. **Proposed use/occupancy type:** N/A

b. **Building type & hazard classification:** N/A

c. **Days & hours of operation:** N/A

d. **Total number of employees:** N/A

e. **Describe any outdoor activity proposed, including area square footage:** N/A

f. **Number of parking spaces, pursuant to Sec. L-II 4.2.9.f. of Zoning Regulations:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Required</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regular Stalls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compact Stalls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheelchair Accessible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

g. **Are loading bays or drop off areas proposed or required?**

18. **Building Characteristics of each proposed building:** N/A

a. **Building size in square feet (existing and proposed):** NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1st floor</th>
<th>3rd floor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2nd floor</td>
<td></td>
<td>4th floor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. **If assembly area without fixed seats, state UBC and/or designed occupancy:** N/A

c. **Building height, measured from average finished grade to highest point:** N/A

d. **Proposed exterior building:** NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Colors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Roofing:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Siding:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trim:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Windows:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
e. Describe proposed architectural features or details (roof overhangs, offsets, wainscoting, etc) incorporated to comply with applicable County Design Guidelines: N/A

19. Building Permits: List any building or grading permits related to this project that have been applied for and/or issued.

A grading permit will be applied for as a part of this project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUANTITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Concrete/Shotcrete Removal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spoil Material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riprap</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20. Signage: N/A

A Comprehensive Sign Plan been submitted that identifies:

___ a. Number of signs
___ b. Total sign square footage
___ c. Sign style (wall sign, monument, free-standing)
___ d. Sign lighting
___ e. Sign placement/location
___ f. Design, e.g., colors, materials, lettering style

21. Residential Projects: N/A

a. Number of dwelling units: N/A
   Single-family
   Multiple-family

b. If multiple family or condominium project, number of dwelling units with: N/A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>One Bedroom:</th>
<th>Two Bedrooms:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Three Bedrooms:</td>
<td>Four or More Bedrooms:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c. Does this project include a conversion of residential real property to a condominium project?
   ___ yes ✓ no
If yes, have you provided tenant notice pursuant to Sec. 66427.4 of the Subdivision Map Act, and attached a report on the impact to tenants are required by Sec. 66427.4?  ___ yes  ___ no

If yes, you must provide verification of such notice.  
Is that documentation attached?  ___ yes  ___ no

I understand that failure to provide a complete and accurate response to all questions on this form may deem this application incomplete and may result in project processing delays.  

Signature of ☐ Property Owner(s) or ☐ Authorized Representative that completed this document:

Original Signed 
_________________________________________________________  Date:___________________